

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/812,533	03/30/2004	Morteza Cyrus Afghahi	BP 2114CON	3302
7590 07/19/2004		EXAMINER		
James A. Harrison			LE, VU ANH	
Garlick Harrison & Markison, LLP P.O. Box 670007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75367			2824	

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/812,533	AFGHAHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vu A. Le	2824			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a leply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become Alexandre.	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	March 2004.				
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 13-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 20-27 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 15-19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intentious	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/812,533 Page 2

Art Unit: 2824

DETAILED ACTION

The cancellation of claims 1-12 in the Preliminary amendment filed on 03/30/04 has been entered and made of record.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 13-20, 25 and 27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,782,130. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim the same invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/812,533 Page 3

Art Unit: 2824

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ang et al (6,301,146)

With respect to claim 13, Ang et al disclose a dense memory cell (Fig.1) comprising: a plurality of access transistors (Q3 and Q4), each having a gate (G) tied to a wordline input (common point of 18 and 19), a first one of the access transistors (Q3 or Q4) having a drain (D) and source (S) coupled between a bit line (23 or 27) and an output node (output not of bistable circuit 13); a plurality of storage transistors (Q1 and Q2), a first one having a drain and source coupled between the output line and a power signal and a gate couple to an output bar node, the second one having a drain and source coupled between the output bar node and the power rail, and a gate coupled to the output node (bistable circuit 13); and a control circuit (Fig.2 and 61 of Fig.3) generating a tracking voltage (Vref) coupled to the wordline (See Fig.3), the track voltage for adjusting the voltage on the wordline to cause leakage current through the two access transistors to exceed leakage through the plurality of storage transistors (see col.3, lines 44-56 and col.4, lines 34-44).

With respect to claim 14, Ang et al disclose the tracking voltage is a function of a reference voltage determined to provide a leakage through the access transistors

that exceeds the leakage through the storage devices (and col.4, lines 34-44 and col.6, lines 36-43)).

With respect to claim 15, the tracking voltage is maintained substantially constant by a differential amplifier (56 in Fig.3).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 16-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 20-27 are allowed.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the independent claims 20 and 25 recite the tracking signal required to force the reference voltage onto an output of a dummy circuit and a tracking voltage coupled to well bias. The features are not disclosed or suggest in the PRIOR ART, therefore claims 20-27 are in condition for allowance.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/812,533 Page 5

Art Unit: 2824

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 9. Rose et al (5,471,421) disclose a bias circuit for a word line driver.
- 10. Heald (4,821,235) disclose a compact SRAM.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vu A. Le whose telephone number is (571)272-1871. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571)-272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vu A. Le

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2824

07/18/04